

(d) how long he has served Government after his return from abroad;

(e) (i) whether he was not bound to serve the Government for a period of five years, (ii) the amount of loan he has taken from Government;

(f) under what conditions his relief has been granted by Government?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes.

(d) 8½ months.

(e) (i) Yes. (ii) Rs. 5,000.

(f) He has been relieved subject to the following conditions :—

(1) Dr. Sriram will continue to repay the loan due to Government in instalments as hitherto;

(2) He will pay pensionary contributions foregoing the leave benefits that may accrue during the period;

(3) He should return to the University of Mysore after 31st March 1956 and the period of his loan of service to the Madras University will not be extended beyond that date;

(4) If he fails to return to the University after 31st March 1956, he will pay the entire loan and the amount spent by the University or Government in connection with his higher education abroad, in one lump, his lien being removed from the University.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಜಶೇಖರಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ನರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಹಣ ಪಡೆದು ಓದಿ ಪರದೇಶದಿಂದ ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿದ ತರು ವಾಯಿ ಐದು ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂಬ ಷರತ್ತಿನಿಂದ ನರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಐದುವರೆಗೂ ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನರನ್ನು relieve ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I want notice for that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಜಶೇಖರಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ಅರ್ಜಿ ಹಾಕಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವಾಗ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುವವರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ?

L.A.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಜಶೇಖರಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾ ನಿಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಲೆಕ್ಚರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮ್ ರವರನ್ನು condition ಹಾಕುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಲೇ ರಿಲೀವ್ ಮಾಡಿ ದರೇ ಅಥವಾ condition ಹಾಕಿದಮೇಲೆ relieve ಮಾಡಿದರೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ರಿಲೀವ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ condition ಹಾಕಿದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಜಶೇಖರಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ರಿಲೀವ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಆಡರ್ ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡು ತ್ತೇನೆಂದು phoneನಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರಿಗೆ ಹೇಳಿ ಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಅದು ಜ್ಞಾಪಕವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಜಶೇಖರಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ಇಂತಹ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳು ನರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಂದೆ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಆಗುವಾಗ ನರ್ಕಾರ ಮುಖ ನೋಡಿ ಮಣಿ ಹಾಕುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Shops and Establishments Act (Amendments).

Q.—490. Sri D. VENKATESH (Gandhinagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether they would consider the feasibility of making suggested amendments in the Shops and Establishments Act to provide for recovery procedure?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

This is under consideration of Government.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—I have no supplementaries.

Minimum wages fixed for scheduled employments.

Q.—495. Sri D. VENKATESH (Gandhinagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) in how many cases minimum wages once fixed have been revised;

(b) in respect of what scheduled employments minimum wages have been fixed;

(SRI D. VENKATARAMIAH.)

(c) what is the rate of minimum wages fixed for each scheduled employment ;

(d) when the minimum wages were fixed for each scheduled employment ?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) Nil.

(b) For all employments indicated in the statement given below.

(c) A copy of the Notification specifying the Minimum Rates of Wages fixed for each Scheduled Employments is placed on the table for reference. (Placed in the Legislature Library No. 25/L.A.—1955.)

(d) Minimum Wages were fixed in the State in March 1952 for the employments in Part I and in December 1953 for the employments in Part II of the Schedule to the Act.

Employments for which Minimum Wages have been fixed.

- (1) Employments in Rice-Mill, Flour-Mill or Dhal-Mill ;
- (2) Employments in any tobacco (including beedi making manufacturing) ;
- (3) Employments in Plantations ;
- (4) Do Oil Mills ;
- (5) Do under any Local Authority ;
- (6) Employments in road construction or building operations ;
- () Employments in stone breaking or stone-crushing ;
- (8) Employments in public motor transport ;
- (9) Employments in tanneries and leather manufactory ;
- (10) Employments in Agriculture.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Will the Government consider the revision of wages fixed for the scheduled employments as contemplated under Section 6 of the Minimum Wages Act ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—We will consider.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now questions are all over. Short Notice Question No. 5 by Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION AND ANSWER.

Prakurthi tank in Molakalmuru Taluk (breach).

Q.—5. Sri Mulka GOVNIDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) when was the Prakurthi tank in Molakalmuru Taluk breached due to rains ;

(b) whether the Local Revenue Inspector had warned the Revenue and the Public Works Department authorities about the impending danger to the tank ;

(c) whether both the Revenue authorities and the Public Works Department took action in that direction ; if so, what action ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) On 27th September 1955.

(b) There was a report from the village on 23rd September 1955.

(c) Yes. The tank was inspected by the local Public Works Department Officers the next day and by the Executive Engineer on 26th September 1955. The condition of the tank was not such as to give rise to any apprehension of danger to the bund.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Is it not a fact that the construction of this bund was defective ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—That is what we have to infer now.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Is it not a fact that the Revenue Inspector of the area warned the revenue authorities as well as P.W.D. authorities that immediate action was called for to prevent the breach of the tank ?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA.—The patel of the village submitted a report to the Revenue Inspector on 23rd September 1955 and immediately thereafter the Public Works Authorities inspected the tank and kept the sluice open because the rain water was coming in. But on the 26th there was heavy rainfall and therefore there was breach.